G R S App F IX 4]

194 -

(194)

Hul File No /25-42

Serial Nos 24, 29 30

Previous References

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 3

Part B for May/43

SUBJECT

Contribution for tidying up the fort area in Seremgapatam

cl 30)

2 Mr DS Mandyam Desk
L 10999 dt 13 5 43
States that the recovery of the amount cannot be waived but may be recovered in 5 instalments of Rs 200/- each

19/6

3836/12 Waiving the Contribution due by the Serangapatam Town Municipality for laying up the Fort-area

Continuation Sheet — File No / Current No 125 — of 1942 — Page

From
The Chief Engr.
No [illegible] 30/11/42
States that a sum of Rs 1000-2-0 being the Contribution towards the laying up for of the Fort area is due from the Serangapatam Town Municipality (since 1935-36) and that it is not a fit case to waive the contribution in question

The D.C. Mandya
No [illegible] 546/42-43 dt 20-12-42
Regrets the circumstances reported for waiving the Contribution of Rs 1000-2 due by the Serangapatam Town Municipality towards the laying up the Fort area during 1936-35 & 35-37 [illegible] for orders. The defects are not easily traceable. They will be traced and [illegible]

26/12
[illegible] the Town Municipality has called for particulars [illegible] employed from [illegible] the fortworks at Serangapatam [illegible] the Municipality [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] from The D.C. Mandya [illegible] 28/12/42
No A5 [illegible] dt 1/3/43
Requests early orders of Govt as the Engineer Mandya is pressing for the settlement of the matter

Waiving the contribution due by the Seringapatam Town Municipality for tidying up the fort area

5) A sum of Rs 1000 2-0 is due by the Seringapatam Town Municipal Council to the P.W.D in respect of tidying up the fort area in Seringapatam for the years 1934-35 - 1935-36. The Municipal Council had agreed to contribute a sum of Rs 1500 a year towards the work but which was executed by the P.W.D. As the pilgrim tax was abolished with effect from 1.7.36 it was not feasible for the Municipal Council to meet its obligations and hence there is a liability.

6) The financial condition of the City is very unsatisfactory. There may be some improvement in its finance next year due to the proposed revival of the pilgrim tax but the realisations have been separately ordered to be earmarked towards the repayment of the water supply loan due by it, which was also recommended to be waived. It also owes large arrears of annual maintenance charges of the Water works.

7) It is for orders if the amount in question i.e. Rs 1000-2 due by the Seringapatam Town Municipal Council may not be waived in consultation with the Finance Secretary.

The file — Dewan's minute relating to tidying up the fort area is also put up.

Waiving the contribution due by the Serampore Municipality for lighting the Fort-area

9 For reasons mentioned in the Inspector's letter dated the 20th December the claim of the P W D against the Serampore municipality for a sum of Rs 1000-2-0 for works carried in the years 1934-35 & 35-36 may be waived. The financial condition of this municipality is very unsatisfactory & it is not in a position to pay the amount in question, even after the imposition of the profession tax at the place. May be order to be waived in consultation with the Law Secy

Law Secy
26/3/43

10. In view of the unsatisfactory financial condition of the municipality the amount may be waived

26-3-43

To Comptroller, with compliments, for favour of remarks

No 599/Spl W 21/4/43

Financial Secretary to Government

U O No 428/CLAD dated 19-4-43
20-

Returned with compliments

The financial condition of the Municipality is no doubt far

Contribution for widening up Seringapatam Fort Area

far from satisfactory But with the revival of the pilgrim tax from the next year which appears to be under separate consideration of Government, the condition of the Municipality is likely to be improved financially The contribution due to the P W Department by the Municipality may therefore be asked to be paid in easy instalments of Rs 250/- or Rs 200/- within four or five years so that th loss to Government which the wholesale write off of the amount would otherwise involve if sanctioned, may be averted

M Venkatagiri
Comptroller

CV

14 The above suggestion may be adopted
Law Secy with compts] M
vetupu

15 The view of the Comptroller may be accepted

Minister 2 5 5

Law
26-4-43
for 26/4/43

Secy
26-4-43
Law
27-4-43
draft reply pl

Sub with a draft reply for approval
29/4
issued 30/4/43
27/4/43

OF THE MINISTER
FOR L S G & P H
Dt 26 4 43
No. 8997

TYP N
- 3 MAY 1943
GEN V CTT

341 M/L

Continuation Sheet | **File No** / **Current No** | **of** | **Page**

To,
The D C Mandya
L 10994 & 13563
States that the ~~arr~~ recovery of the amount
cannot be waived but that it ~~can~~ may be ~~[illegible]~~ recovered
in 5 annual instalments of Rs 200 each
Copies to the Comptroller, Chief Eng
L 10995-6 & 13575/43

Rs
2575

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No | of | Page

C F No 45]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

T I $\frac{s\ m}{ph}$

No 8978
Encls 1CL

OFFICE OF
The Chief Engineer of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

Date 30th November 1942

To

The Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir

Subject Tidying up the Fort at Seringapatam

I have the honor to invite your reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No 565 dated 14th July 1937 re the contribution due from the Seringapatam Municipality in respect of - tidying up the Fort and to request the favour of being informed of the orders of Government in the matter

In this connection, it is reported that a sum of Rs 1000-2-0 is due from the Municipality since 1935-36 and that when the Presiden Municipal Council, was addressed re its clearance, he has replied that the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya has been requested to move - Government to sanction the waiving of the contribution A copy of the President's letter No O C 86/41-42, dated 16/21 1 42 touching on the point, is herewith enclosed for information In my opinion - there is no case for waiving the contribution due

Flag "F"

The I & C Branch will be requested to put up the papers stated to have been dealt with in the Dev Secth (Vide Flag F portion A)

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

SKB Row, 25/28-11

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE

The file put up has no bearing on this case
Is there not a file on this subject?
Pl find out
The referred papers have

341.12

There is no file in sus on the subject
If appro, I&C Branch will be
requested to attach the refer
received and deal with matter here

10/12

CS 10/12

PC bringing up
for
10/12

Letter No O C 86/41-42 dated 16/21-1-42 from the President, Municipal Council, Seringapatam, to the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division, Mysore

- - - - -

Subject Share of contribution due Viz ,Rs 1000-2-0 by the Seringapatam Town Municipality for cleaning up fort and other parts of the Town & Ganjam for the years of 34-35 and 1935 - 1936

With reference to the above, I have the honor to state that in my letter No H C 102/36-37 dated 3-12-36 the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, was requested to kindly address the Government in the matter of according sanction for waiving the entire amount of contribution Viz ,Rs 3000/- due to Public Works Department

Accordingly the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, in his letter o D 3 476/36-37 dated 23rd December 1936 has requested the Secretary, ~~to~~ Development Department to kindly obtain the sanction of Government for waiving the entire amount of contribution stating that the Municipality is in a bad financial position on account of the abolition of Pilgrim tax from 1st July 1936 and the Municipality is loosing an average income of Rs 3000/-per year etc , since then

As orders of Government in this connection was awaited the matter was allowed to remain without any reply However, the Deputy Commissioner, Mandya District is again requested to kindly renew the matter and to obtain early sanction of Government in this behalf

- - - - - - -

" Copy "

CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE

SRB Row ,28/11

3836/L

No A5 844/42-43
Encl One file

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Dated, Mandya, the 20th Decr 1942

From

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
MANDYA

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq B A ,B L
Secretary to Government,
Law Department,
BANGALORE

Sir,

Subject - Re the adjustment of out-lay incurred by the Public Works Department on behalf of Mandya and Seringapatam Municipal Council

With reference to your letter No L 7053-64 dated 3-3-39 to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District on the above subject and in continuation of this Office letter No A5 162/39-40 dated 6-12-39, I have the honour to state that a reference was made to the Executive Engineers of Mandya and Mysore Divisions to intimate the expenditure incurred on behalf of the Mandya - Town Municipality The Executive Engineer, Mandya Division in his letter No D O 459 dated 14-6-40 addressed - to the Superintending Engineer, Mysore Circle(copy enclosed) states that for want of the required references no information could be given on the Subject Neither any further information has been received from the Public Works Department nor the claim has been pressed In the circumstances, no further action seems to be necessary in the case of Mandya Town Municipality

2 As regards the payment of the amount due by the Seringapatam Town Municipality, I invite kind reference to the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter No D3 476/36-37 -- dated 23-12-36 (enclosed in the file) regarding the share of contribution due by the Municipality for cleaning up the fort area(copy enclosed for ready reference) In this connection, a recommendation was made by the Deputy Commissioner Mr B S Raghavendra Rao, in his letter No A5 162/39-40 dated 6-12-39 to waive the claim of the Public Works Department No Order has been received so far either on that recommendation or on the reference made by the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

3 The Executive Engineer, Mysore Division in his letter No 672 dated 27-10-1942 addressed to the Superintending - Engineer, Mysore Circle, has again pressed for the adjustment of the amount due by the Seringapatam Town Municipality A report was called for from the President, Seringapatam Municipality In his report dated 21-1-42(enclosed in the file) he - has stated that the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore was requested to recommend for waiving the claim of the Public Works Department and that no reply was received from Government so far - The Municipal Council had resolved on 25-9-39 that owing to the abolition of the Pilgrim Tax since 1936, they had lost the income they were realising by the levy of the Tax as a consequence of which, they could not keep up to their undertaking of giving a grant of Rs 1,500/- every year towards the improvement of the fort area They requested that the claim of the P W Department might be therefore be waived It is on these

on these considerations that a recommendation was made by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District on 23-12-36 and by this Office in December 1939 for kindly waiving the contribution due by the Municipality

4 It is needless for me to say that the financial position of the Seringapatam Municipality is very unsatisfactory and no useful purpose would be served by the Public Works Department pressing the adjustment of the claim

5 In the circumstances, I request you kindly to obtain sanction of Government to waive the claim of the Public Works Department

6 The connected papers received from the President, Seringapatam Municipality, on the above subject , are enclosed for kind reference and return

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Assistant Commissioner
for Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

MSS

Letter No D3 476/36-37 dated 23rd Decr 1936 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District to the Secretary to Government, Development Department, Bangalore

---

Subject -Share of contribution due by the Seringapatam Town Municipality for cleaning up Fort and other parts of Town and Ganjam

In forwarding herewith the file of correspondence ending with report No H C 102/36-37 dated 3rd December 1936, from the President, Seringapatam Town Municipal Council, re the above I have the honour to state that the Municipal Council of Seringapatam Town at the meeting held on 9th November 1933, agreed to pay their share of contribution of Rs 3,000/- for cleaning up the Fort and other parts of the Town and Ganjam They had also resolved on 16th November 1934, to pay a sum of Rs 1,500/- per annum towards the above work provided a similar amount was granted by Government. After taking credit for the Municipal Contribution Government in their Order No L 8740-43/M1 - 57-34-9 dated 15th May 1936 were pleased to sanction an additional grant of Rs 3,787/- to cover the total outlay viz , Rs 5,787/- incurred by the Public Works Department, towards the above works, till the end of June 1935

Out of the grant of Rs 1,500/- sanctioned for Seringapatam Town Improvements during the year 1935-36 in Government Order No L 2821-31 M1 113-35-2 dated 18th October 1935, a sum of Rs 1,000/- only was drawn

P T O

was drawn on 24th June 1936 by the President and kept in the S B account, as this amount has been ear-marked for the improvement of Ganjam The balance of Rs 500/- should have been drawn and credited to Public Works deposits towards the arrears of contribution The omission to draw the amount is explained as due to oversight

From the letter No 91 dated 1st September 1936 received from the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division(copy of which is enclosed in the file) it is seen that a sum of Rs 3,000/- being the share of contribution due from the Municipality for the years 1934-35 and 1935-36, has to be adjusted towards the Public Works Deposits The Municipal Council at the meeting held on 30th July 1936, have requested th Government to kindly waive the entire contribution of Rs 3,000/- as the municipality is in a bad financial position on account of the abolition of pilgrim tax from 1-7-36 By the abolition of this tax, the Municipal Council have lost an average income of Rs 3,000/- per year

Under these circumstances, I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained for waiving the entire amount of contribution viz , Rs 3,000/- due to Public Works Department

The connected records are enclosed

10

COPY

K Bala Subramani

for Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

JSS

Letter No D O 459 dated 14-6-1940 from the Executive Engineer, Mandya Division Mandya to the Superintending Engineer, Mysore Circle, Mysore

---

Subject - Outlay incurred by the P W D on behalf of Seringapatam and Mandya Municipalities

---

With reference to your D O No 1070 dated the 12th instant, regarding the above I write to state that the Executive Engineer, Mysore Division intimates that the expenditure in question does not appear to have been incurred by this Division nor has it been transferred from that Division to the New Mandya Division after its formation A copy of the Executive Engineer's letter No 2332 dated 26-3-1940 is herewith submitted for ready reference

The Municipal Council Mandya was also addressed in this Office No 2776 dated 14-3-1940 to furnish any further information on this point No reply has so far been received in this Office

Under the above circumstances, I see that no information can be given on the subject If any information is available in your Office file, the same may kindly be intimated for taking further needful action,

with regards,

COPY

K Bala Subramanya

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

MSS

No A5 844/42-43,

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District,
Dated, Mandya, the 1st March 1943.

From

Mir Safdar Hussain, Esq, B A,
Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District, Mandya

To

C Narayanaswami Naidu, Esq, B A, B L,
Secretary to Government, Law Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honour to invite kind reference to this Office letter dated 20/21-12-42 regarding the adjustment of - out-lay incurred by the Public works Department on behalf of Mandya and Seringapatam Town Municipal Councils and to request to be intimated as to when the orders of Government might be expected As the Executive Engineer, Mandya Division is pressing for the sattlement of the matter, I solicit early orders of Government waiving the recovery of the amount shown as due from the Seringapatam Municipality

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Mir Safdar Hussain
Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District

MSS

Appendix F G —1]

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

Date of issue 13/5

Signed by

List of enclosures

Codied by 3/5

Examined by

Section

File No 125-42

Serial No 30

No 10994

To The D C Mandya Mandya

Sir,

With refce to the correspondence ending with your letter no A5 844/42-4 dt 20-12-42 re the adjustment of a sum of Rs 1000-2-0 being the contribution due by the Seringapatam Town Municipality Council to the P W D on account of the work of lifting up of the fort area carried out by the latter during the years 1934-35 and 1935-36, I am directed to state that the recovery of the amount cannot be waived as recommended by you. The amount—

May however be arranged to be recovered as a lump sum in 5 annual instalments of Rs 200 each ~~at the rate of~~ ~~Now~~ the financial condition of the mdy, which is not satisfactory at present, is likely to be improved with the revival of the levy of the pilgrim tax in the mdy from the next year. Necessary provision may accordingly be made in the budget estimates for next year. The records accompany.

I have etc

[signature] 1/5/42
for secy to Govt
Law Deptt

Memo no. L 10995-6

Copy of the above is forwarded to
(1) the Comptroller to Govt and
(2) the Chief Engr of mys PWD with ref to his letter No 8978 dt 30/11/42
for information

[signature]

for secy to Govt
Law Deptt

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L10994

Dated Bangalore 13th May 1943.

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Law Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 13 - 841/42 - 43 dated 20th December 1942 regarding the adjustment of a sum of Rs. 2,000-0-0 being the contribution due by the Sirinagapatam Town Municipal Council to the Public Works Department on account of the work of tidying up the fort area carried out by the latter during the year 1934- 35 and 1935 - 36, I am directed to state that the recovery of the amount cannot be waived as recommended by you. The amount may however be arranged to be recovered as a special debt in 5 annual instalments of Rs. 400 each since the financial condition of the municipality, which is not satisfactory at present, is likely to be improved with the revival of the levy of the pilgrim tax in the municipality from the next year.

Necessary provision may accordingly be made in the Budget of the Municipality for next year

The records accompany

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

(Sd/-)

for Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

Mnna.G.